Assessing the Impact of Changing Diets on the Gut Microbial Community of Developing Dairy Calves

> Garret Suen, Assistant Professor Department of Bacteriology University of Wisconsin-Madison NAAIC • 07.13.2016

#### Research in our Lab

Understand the role of the gut microbial community (microbiota) in herbivores and their influence on host biology



Can we modify the rumen microbiota of a cow to improve milk production efficiency?

- National milk production at \$35 billion in 2012
- Wisconsin 1st in cheese, 2nd in milk, nationally



http://chartsbin.com

#### USDA/NASS 2012

# Anatomy of a Cow



### How does the rumen function?



Dehority 2003 Rumen Microbiology, Flint 2008 Nature Rev Microbiol, Cheng 2009 Bioresource Tech

#### Milk production depends on microbes



Milk pathway vastly simplified from: B. Larson & V. Smith "Lactation: A comprehensive Treatise" Vol. II 1974

### On Wisconsin



#### Feed is expensive

Farmers are switching from calf starter to other feeds

Unknown what effects other feeds have on milk production How does diet affect calf development, ruminal microbiome acquisition, and milk production efficiency?

- Calf Development
  - Average Daily Weight Gain



Kim Dill-McFarland

- Ruminal Microbiome Acquisition
  - Bacteria, Archaea, Fungi
- Milk Production Efficiency
  - Energy Corrected Milk / Dry Matter Intake

# Study Design

- •3X cohorts of 12 female + 3 male Holstein calves
- Raised on pasteurized milk with balancer +
  - Ampli-Calf starter grains (low fiber)
  - Corn silage (high fiber)
  - 50/50 mixture



Corn silage

www.iowacornstalk.com www.whiteoakmills.com

#### Sampling



All animals were born in the same period and fed one of 3 diets until weaning

How does diet affect calf development, ruminal microbiome acquisition, and milk production efficiency?

- Calf Development
  - Average Daily Weight Gain
- Ruminal Microbiome Acquisition
  - Bacteria, Archaea, Fungi
- Milk Production Efficiency
  - Energy Corrected Milk / Dry Matter Intake

### **Animal Development**



• Silage does not adversely affect Average Daily Gain

How does diet affect calf development, ruminal microbiome acquisition, and milk production efficiency?

- Calf Development
  - Average Daily Weight Gain
- Ruminal Microbiome Acquisition
  - Bacteria, Archaea, Fungi
- Milk Production Efficiency
  - Energy Corrected Milk / Dry Matter Intake

### How does the rumen function?



Dehority 2003 Rumen Microbiology, Flint 2008 Nature Rev Microbiol, Cheng 2009 Bioresource Tech

#### Calves do not have a functional rumen







http://aorakistockfoods.co.nz/extra\_info.html

# Measuring Microbial Communities

Illumina MiSeq Sequencing

- Bacteria: V4 16S rRNA
- Archaea: V6-V8 16S rRNA
- Fungi: ITS



#### 358 samples from 45 animals

Bacteria: 13.9 million (mean 39,000 / sample)
Fungi: 5.3 million (mean 23,000)
Archaea: 540,000 (mean 1,500)

#### Short- and long-term effects of calf diet



How does diet affect calf development, ruminal microbiome acquisition, and milk production efficiency?

- Calf Development
  - Average Daily Weight Gain
- Ruminal Microbiome Acquisition
  - Bacteria, Archaea, Fungi
- Milk Production Efficiency
  - Energy Corrected Milk / Dry Matter Intake

# **Milk Production Efficiency**



- Milk = Total Milk Production / Dry Matter Intake
- Overall = Energy Corrected Milk / Dry Matter Intake
  - Silage does not affect milk production
    Silage and the Mix result in small gains

### Conclusions

- Corn Silage and the Mix Diet does not adversely affect average daily gain
- Corn Silage and the Mix Diet promote faster development to an "adult-like" ruminal microbiome
- Both Diets increase milk production efficiency relative to calf starter fed animals

Switching to Corn Silage or a Mix does not affect overall calf development

### **Future Directions**

• Test other diets (other silages?)

• Increase animal size to gain stronger statistical power

Metagenomics to determine what the microbes are doing

#### Acknowledgements



Kim Dill-McFarland



#### Paul Weimer

USDA Dairy Forage Research Center



This work was funded by a National Institute of Food and Agriculture, United States Department of Agriculture Grant #WIS01729

